

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Farhan Rahman
Title of Project:	Steganography
Supervisor:	Nik Whitehead
2 nd marker:	Bev Holland
Course:	Computing DIS CNC EEE Games Development SE Web (please indicate)
Project Type:	Survey Review Experiment Development (please indicate)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> While there is clearly a little understanding of the topic, there is no evidence that any working software was actually produced.	35
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	35
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u>	35

Signature: Nik Whitehead Date: 27/4/21

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	2 /5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	5 /15
Comments: The introduction is weak, but the aim and objectives are reasonable. The literature review needs much more depth, and should be illustrated with both images and diagrams. There needs to be more technical detail explaining the algorithms with examples. Text steganography isn't really relevant.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	2 /5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	2 /5
Comments: The basic ideas of the methodologies are acceptable, but there is no consideration of ethics, reliability, validity or generalisability, nor is there a project plan/outline. No discussion of the data to be collected or how it will be analysed. A basic set of requirements are given.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	6 /25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	4 /10
Comments: The testing process is basically fine although the pairs of images should have been randomised. This section needs graphics. A better approach would be to compare the effects of using different algorithms.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	6 /15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-
Comments: The GUI designs should be in the main body, not in appendices. The same applies to the flowcharts. There should be a description of the code developed, including code fragments to show how the algorithms are implemented. There should be quite a lot of images in this section.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	4/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	4/10
Comments: Errors in the table of contents. An honest reflection				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	/100